

Fast Track to Rapid Recovery

Barbara Harris, BSN, RN, CPAN, CAPA Ashleigh Arcaro, BSN, RN, CPAN Chea Wallace, MSN, RN, CPAN

Problem Statement

Nurses on OPC 19 found that patients receiving MAC anesthesia were transferred from the OR to Phase I, despite meeting Phase I discharge criteria, Standard practice on the unit allowed for the patient to stay in Phase I for an average of one hour, prior to transfer to Phase II. This "sacred cow" practice resulted in staff shortages, OR turnover delays, increased patients' length of stay (LOS), and increased LOS cost.

What is Fast Tracking?

- Fast Tracking is defined in Practice Recommendation 8 of the 2017-2018 Perianesthesia Nursing Standards Practice Recommendations and Interpretive Statements as having a patient bypass Phase I level of care and proceed directly to Phase II level of care in the ambulatory setting.
- Fast tracking is a term used in perianesthesia practice and is interpreted in a variety of ways. The ASPAN practice recommendation clarifies the term as well as states clinical practice processes that will provide care to this population in a safe, appropriate and cost-effective manner (Cherry Hill, 2016).

Literature Review

- Orthopedic surgeries are the most commonly performed procedures in the ambulatory setting, second only to lens and cataract surgeries (Odom-Forren, Reed, Rush, 2017).
- A decrease in OR and recovery times have a greater economic impact than that achieved by reducing anesthesia drug costs alone. This practice has been associated with substantial cost savings with no change in patient outcomes (Burke & Kyker, 2013).
- The impact of perioperative wait times and delays for the patient creates an environment of stress, fear, aggravation, and anxiety (Wiley, 2018).
- Outpatient surgery provides patients with the convenience of early discharge, recovering at home, and a financially reduced cost for the consumer and institution. Because of the increase in outpatient surgery centers and volume of procedures, wait times are becoming more of an apprehension for the patients (Wiley, 2018).
- · Fast-tracking has been studied since 1996 with clear evidence to support the process. Multiple studies have demonstrated an increased PACU bypass rate and subsequent decrease in LOS, following the implementation of fast tracking (Rice, Muckler, Miller, Vacchiano, 2015).

Eligibility

- Ambulatory surgical patient
- 18 years or older
- Type of anesthesia
 - MAC

140

120

100

80

60

40

- TIVA _
- Peripheral nerve block _
- Combination of these
- Must meet criteria for Phase II level of care
 - White's Fast Tracking Scoring System
 - Incorporates the essential elements of the modified Aldrete system, as well as an assessment of pain and nausea

White's Fast Tracking Scoring System

Level of consciousness Score Awake and oriented Arousable with minimal stimulation

esponsive only to tactile stimulation

Physical activity Able to move all extremities on command Some weakness in movement of extremities

Unable to voluntarily move extremities femodynamic stability

Blood pressure <15% of baseline MAP value Blood pressure 15%-30% of baseline MAP value Blood pressure >30% below baseline MAP value

Respiratory stability Able to breathe deeply

Tachypnea with good cough Dyspneic with weak cough

Oxygen saturation status

Saturation <90% with supplemental oxyge Postoperative pain assessmen

None or mild discomfor Persistent severe pair

Postoperative emetic symptom

ransient vomiting or retching Persistent moderate to sev

Total score (Possible 14) Fast Track Eliaible (Minimum Score of 12, no 0's)

Analysis of Data

- Reduced patient LOS by average of 60 minutes by eliminating Phase I
- Maintained Phase II LOS at average of 54 minutes
- Increased staff satisfaction by building a team environment and decreasing Phase I hold time overall
- Decreased the cost of patient LOS by average of \$2,000 per patient event, totaling \$46,000 over the four week implementation period



■ Case volume ■ Avg. Pacu Minutes ■ Avg. Phase II Minutes ■ Avg. Total Stay

Aaintains value >90% on room air Requires supplemental oxygen (nasal prongs

Moderate to severe pain controlled with IV analgesics

None or mild nausea with no active vomiting

4 Wk Project

Period

Yes/No

References 2017-2018 Perianesthesia nursing standards, practice recommendations and interpretive statements. (2016). Cherry Hill, NJ: American Society of PeriAnesthesia Nurses

Burke, B., & Kyker, M. (2013). Speeds Criteria vs. Modified Aldrete and Fast-Track Criteria for Evaluating Recovery in Outpatients. Open Journal Of Anesthesiology, 03(07), 309-314. http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/ojanes.2013.37068

Conclusion

without compromising patient safety and satisfaction.

Addition of all eligible patients to program

utilization

Ongoing staff training

and their families

Utilization of the Fast Track protocol improves efficiency and maximizes resources

Further Recommendations

Ongoing reinforcement of physicians and anesthesia buy in

Increased communication between AOD and PACU regarding staff

Continued improvement to education for Fast Track eligible patients

McShane, C., & Honeysett, A. (2013). What is the preadmission role in preparation of patients for fast track surgery? Australian Nursing Journal, 20(9), 37-39. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23697310.

Odom-Forren, J., Reed, D. B., & Rush, C. (2017). Postoperative Distress of Orthopedic Ambulatory Surgery Patients. AORN Journal, 105(5), 464-477. doi:10.1016/j.aorn.2017.03.005

Probst, S., Cech, C., Haentschel, D., Scholz, M., & Ender, J. (2014). A specialized post-anaesthetic care unit improves fast-track management in cardiac surgery: a prospective randomized trial. Critical Care, 18(4). http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13054-014-0468-2

Rice, A. N., Muckler, V. C., Miller, W. R., & Vacchiano, C. A. (2015). Fast-Tracking Ambulatory Surgery Patients Following Anesthesia, Journal of PeriAnesthesia Nursing, 30(2), 124-133. doi:10.1016/i.jopan.2014.01.006

Specht, K., Kiaersgaard-Andersen, P., Kehlet, H., Wedderkopp, N., & Pedersen, B. D. (2015), High patient satisfaction in 445 patients who underwent fast-track hip or knee replacement. Acta Orthopaedica, 86(6), 702-707. doi:10.3109/17453674.2015.1063910

White, P., & Song, D. (2000). New Criteria for Fast-Tracking After Outpatient Anesthesia: A Comparison With the Modified Aldrete's Scoring System. Survey Of Anesthesiology, 44(1), 4. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00132586-200002000-00004

Wiley, S. (2018). Patient Satisfaction Related to Surgery Wait Times. Patient Satisfaction Related To Surgery Wait Times, 1. http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=ccm&AN=131799389&site=ehostlive

Williams, B., Kentor, M., Williams, J., Vogt, M., DaPos, S., Harner, C., & Fu, F. (2002), PACU Bypass after Outpatient Knee Surgery Is Associated with Fewer Unplanned Hospital Admissions but More Phase II Nursing Interventions. Anesthesiology, 97(4), 981-988. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00000542-200210000-00034