

POSITION STATEMENT

American Society of PeriAnesthesia Nurses



A Position Statement on Acuity-Based Staffing for Phase I

The American Society of PeriAnesthesia Nurses (ASPAN) has the responsibility for defining the principles of safe staffing practice in the perianesthesia setting. ASPAN's *2021-2022 Perianesthesia Nursing Standards, Practice Recommendations and Interpretive Statements* provides Practice Recommendation 1: Patient Classification/Staffing Recommendations.¹ Perianesthesia registered nurses continue to seek validation, clarification, and support regarding the staffing recommendations. In the landmark case *Laidlaw v Lions Gate Hospital*, Phase I PACU was deemed "the most important room in the hospital" because this phase of care "poses the greatest potential dangers to the patient."² Currently, ASPAN's "recommended staffing ratios are based on the best available evidence, expert opinion and consensus."³ While there are variations in Phase I perianesthesia practice locations, it remains the responsibility of each healthcare organization to balance patient safety, acuity, census, complexity, case mix, skill mix, and nursing competencies when staffing.⁴ Therefore, ASPAN sets forth expectations for acuity-based staffing in Phase I perianesthesia departments with this position statement.

Background

ASPAN's Clinical Practice Committee receives weekly inquiries regarding staffing issues in Phase I perianesthesia departments across the country. The addition of interpretive statements to Practice Recommendation 1: Patient Classification/Staffing Recommendations has not resulted in nationally consistent staffing patterns in Phase I. Interpretation of this practice recommendation continues to vary throughout practice settings. As changes in healthcare continue to impact the financial bottom line of healthcare organizations, nursing remains under scrutiny to justify staffing. Facilities utilizing productivity formulas often fail to incorporate criteria related to patient acuity and/or complex needs. Attempts to standardize or predict staffing requirements in Phase I reflect a lack of understanding of the complexities of care in PACU.^{5,6} Specifically, it is the constantly changing patient census creating pressures on the PACU to accept patients from the operating room. This impacts nurse/patient ratios potentially reducing the ability to detect the rapid deterioration of a patient.⁷ Additional complexities include the movement of patients in and out of the unit and the constant change in patient acuities.⁶ In a recent study, "nurse understaffing was associated with significantly higher incidence of hypoxemia and arterial hypotension" in the postanesthesia care unit.⁸ When creating a staffing plan for appropriate shifts, work hours, and

patient care accommodations, members of the facility leadership team must account for numerous factors (e.g. patient safety, acuity, census, complexity, case mix, skill mix, and nursing competencies).⁹ It is an ethical obligation to include and assure that members of the healthcare team have proper competencies and training.⁹ The Joint Commission and the American Nurses Association (ANA) agree that patients have a right to high quality care delivered by members of the healthcare team with proper skill mix.^{10,11} The ANA further implies that staffing patterns must be individualized to nursing units and concur that patient turnover, or environmental turbulence,^{4a} and acuity are important factors in the staffing plan.

Position

It is ASPAN's position that an appropriate number of perianesthesia registered nurses with demonstrated competence is available to meet the individual needs of patients and families in each phase of perianesthesia care based on patient acuity, census, patient throughput, and physical facility.^{1,3} According to practice experts from ASPAN's Safety and Staffing Focus Group and the Standards and Guidelines Strategic Work Team, the factors which must be considered when calculating staffing in Phase I include, but are not limited to:

- Comorbidities and ASA classification determined by preanesthesia assessment
- Complexity of care (e.g., frequency of hemodynamic, neurovascular, or neurological assessments, point of care testing, assistance with elimination needs, patient requiring ICU care, educational needs of patient and family, age, and emotional status of patient)
- Case mix and necessary resources to provide appropriate care (e.g., regional anesthesia, invasive monitoring, assistance with bedside procedures, patient on precautions, rapid PACU progression and turnover)
- Potential for untoward events (e.g., unwanted sedation, incomplete reversal neuromuscular blockade, malignant hyperthermia, hemorrhage)
- Compliance with medical optimization plans for disease processes and medication regimen
- Variability of scheduled and unscheduled cases
- Capacity of technology to support workflow processes (e.g., tracking systems, delays in system responsiveness)
- Workflow challenges and distractions
- Administration of multiple medications (e.g., analgesics, vasoactive agents, antiemetics, anxiolytics)
- Consideration for the complexity of safe patient handoff and transfer of care processes
- Physical capacity of the unit to meet 1:1 admission criteria, prevent operating room (OR) delays, and allow for additional resources to assist with adverse events (e.g., delirium, agitation, respiratory events, cardiac events, hemodynamic instability, excessive pain, desaturation, hypoxia, hyperthermia)
- Number of OR/procedure rooms
- Physical design and layout of the unit

^aEnvironmental turbulence is a phrase coined in the 1990s to describe the wide variety of factors influencing clinical practice and clinician performance.¹²



Expected Outcomes

Perianesthesia registered nurses will share the data utilized to support unit staffing for Phase I units with leadership. It is the responsibility of all perianesthesia registered nurses to ensure that leadership and administration are aware of ASPAN's Patient Classification/Staffing Recommendations¹ and this position statement.

ASPAN, as the voice of perianesthesia nursing, must externalize this information by sharing this position statement with regulatory agencies and professional organizations that interface with the perianesthesia nursing specialty. In addition, perianesthesia nursing research for patient safety must include the investigation of staffing ratios that incorporate variables of acuity, unit census, patient care complexity, case mix, skill mix, and nursing competencies.

Approval of Statement

This statement was recommended by a vote of the ASPAN Board of Directors on November 7, 2015, in Ft. Lauderdale, Florida, and was approved by a vote of the ASPAN Representative Assembly on April 10, 2016, in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

This position statement was updated and revised at the virtual October 2021 meeting of the Standards and Guidelines Strategic Work Team in Cherry Hill, NJ.

REFERENCES

1. American Society of PeriAnesthesia Nurses. *2021-2022 Perianesthesia Nursing Standards, Practice Recommendations and Interpretive Statements*. ASPAN; 2020.
2. Laidlaw v Lions Gate Hospital, 1969 CanLII 704 BC SC. Accessed July 14, 2022. <http://canlii.ca/t/gc72p>
3. Mamaril ME, Sullivan E, Clifford TL, Newhouse R, Windle PE. Safe staffing for the post anesthesia care unit: weighing the evidence and identifying the gaps. *J Perianesth Nurs*. 2007;22(6):393-399. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jopan.2007.08.007>
4. American Nurses Association. *Principles for Nurse Staffing*. 3rd ed. ANA; 2020.
5. Weissman C, Freeman J. Operational realities in the postanesthesia care unit: staffing and monitoring for safe postoperative care. *Anesth Analg*. 2014;119(6):1249-1250. <https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000000485>
6. Dexter F, Wachtel RE, Epstein RH. Impact of average patient acuity on staffing of the Phase I PACU. *J Perianesth Nurs*. 2006;21(5):303-310. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jopan.2006.07.007>
7. Klumpner T, Massarweh N, Kheterpal S. Opportunities to improve the capacity to rescue intraoperative and perioperative tools. *Anesthesiology Clin*. 2020;38(2020):775-787. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anclin.2020.08.007>
8. Kiekkas P, Tsekoura V, Aretha D, et al. Nurse understaffing is associated with adverse events in postanesthesia care unit patients. *J Clin Nurs*. 2019;28(11-12):2245-2252. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.14819>
9. Iacono MV. Perianesthesia staffing . . . thinking beyond numbers. *J Perianesth Nurs*. 2006;21(5):346-352. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jopan.2006.07.009>
10. The Joint Commission. Health care at the crossroads: strategies for addressing the evolving nurse crisis. 2003. Accessed July 10, 2020. www.jointcommission.org/assets/1/18/health_care_at_the_crossroads.pdf
11. Tierney SJ. Nursing unit staffing: an innovative model incorporating patient acuity and patient turnover: a dissertation. [PhD dissertation]. Worcester, MA: University of Massachusetts Chan Medical School, Tan Chingfen Graduate School of Nursing; 2010. Accessed July 10, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.13028/3753-et98>
12. Salyer J. Environmental turbulence: impact on nurse performance. *J Nurs Adm*. 1995;25(4):12-20.

