Developing Family Visitation Guidelines to Enhance the Patients Perioperative Experience



Eugenia Johnson, MSN, RN, CCM ejohnson6@kumc.edu





Introduction

Facilitating family visitation throughout perioperative phases of care has been controversial due to obstacles inherent of this setting. Literature demonstrates facilitating family visitation can be beneficial to patient outcomes and is supported by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), Institute of Medicine (IOM) and the American Society of Perianesthesia Nurses (ASPAN). Consequently, hospitals are challenged to develop and implement policies and procedures supporting family



- A 2012 survey of American PACU practices revealed only 19% allowed adult patient family visitation.
- Studies note patient/family support of visitation; while nursing staff are opposed due to patient-care concerns and inability of family members to cope with the environmental activities.
- Attitudinal differences between TUKH Liaisons and Pre/Post staff, compounded by a lack of clear visitation guidelines, created barriers to visitation facilitation during pre and post phases of care.

Methods

Pre/Post staff, including Liaisons, were recruited to participate in a visitation committee.

- Members were briefed on CMS guidelines, patient rights and standards of care outlined by ASPAN and organizational mission/vision statements.
- Questions focusing on visitation expectations during pre/post-operative phases of care were distributed/collected from patients, staff and anesthesiologists to glean issues.
- Participants completed a post-project survey (Table 1) to assess their perception of the process's effectiveness to create visitation guidelines improving visitation facilitation

Post-operative Visitation Survey Result		and Responds		
Question	Patient/Tamily	- %	Cinical Staff	- %
When expect to see patient in recover	y .			
Out of recovery	10	27%	9	38%
In recovery & awake	22	59%	13	54%
Immediately post op	5	14%	2	8%
What info should be shared				
None	0	0%	0	- 016
General	A	12%	21	58%
Detailed	30	88%	15	42%
How many visitors allowed				
None	0	0%	6	16%
1	10	28%	30	27%
2	20	56%	17	46%
Other	6	17%	4	11%
Length of visit				
No visiting allowed	2	6%	2	6%
5 minutes	6	18%	26	44%
10 minutes	15	46%	17	47%
Other	10	30%	1	3%
Should minors be allowed				
No one under 18 yrs	12	54%	15	44%
Children over 12 yrs	5	23%	11	32%
Any age with supervision	5	23%		24%

Project Outcomes

Utilizing the findings, the committee developed an algorithm, creating clear visitation expectations that staff would use to guide them in the facilitation of visitation.

When see?	After patient proposed for surgery		After patient prepared for surgery	wm.mis	With patent (family member or merpeter)	Ments partners.	with	patant	
How many?	y7 3		3	3	2	3	2		
What info shared sylamity?	Deliphed info somes from dector, Nume would address general tifls and quartients		Detailed info comes from dector, Nume would abthross general info and questions	from doctor, Nume- would address general tifls and questions.	Delpited only comes from declar, Nume would address general only and specifies.	Detailed infor- from stactor, it would active general infor- general infor- general infor-	turne from work of govern		
How long visit?	the Description		RN Discretion	8% Distriction	An Drucytian	AN Description	89.0	Rh Duceton	
Recovery - Fost-Op		Bed Hold	Outputient	Special Needs					
After Surgery (Inputient, Questions SDA, Ext)	Phase 2		Child	Lang Batrier	Mental Physical		KU PTS		
When see?	8% discretion, Pl meets (c) orders	RN discretion, Pt meets 50 pritors	Alk discretion. Primets SD criteria	Station Acrossy	When questions reselt to be extentiget reselt	Based on pt resed	Either ready for SD or pt read	Needy for SO based on Mi determination	
Swap out?	MO	Microston depending on location (time, speed)	MO	NO	INN Style to Interpreter + 1 eister)	60	NO	MO	
Who brings whiter to you	Balanty	Averages qualified part	NO	NO.	Available qualified staff	140	50	NO.	

Visitation Committee Questionnaire Results by Question and Respondent (R#)		_		_	_
Question	R1	R2	R3	R4	54
Likelihood of you facilitating visitation in preop phase prior to committee participation	5	5	5	2	85%
Likelihood of you facilitating visitation in recovery phase prior to committee participation	3	3	4	5	75%
Likelihood of creating an effective visitation plan by engaging staff in the development of the plan	5	4	5	5	95%
Likelihood of you facilitating visitation in preop phase after implementation of vistation plan	5	5	5	2	85%
Likelihood of you facilitating visitation in recovery phase after implementation of vistation plan		5	5	5	95%
Likelihood of staff facilitating visiation in recovery phase prior to visitation plan	1	2	2	2	35%
Likelihood of staff facilitating visiation in recovery phase with visitation plan	3	4	3	3	65%

Conclusions & Implications

Post survey of participants revealed:

- Engaging staff in the process of creating a visitation protocol was 95% effective in developing a visitation plan that would assist staff to facilitate visitation in the recovery phase of care by 54% (Table 3).
- Qualitative data revealed continued concerns with space, privacy and interference with providing quality patient care, as well as a need for patient/family and staff education on the importance and expectations surrounding visitation in the recovery phase of care.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to acknowledge those staff who volunteered to be on the committee and staff, anesthesia partners, and the patients and families that participated in the survey

References

Ballou, M., Franklin, J., & Beagley, L. (2012). Ready or not, family visitation is coming. Breathline, 32(5), 7-8. Retrieved from http://www.aspan.org/Portals/6/docs/Resources/Breathline/BreathlineSent

http://www.aspan.org/Portals/6/docs/Resources/Breathline/streas/imme.zep/occuring.pdf
Lapdf
Carter, A. I., Deselms, J., Ruyle, S., Morrissey-Lucas, M., Kollar, S., Cannon, S., &
Schick, L. (2012). Sociansethissic are unit violation decreases family member
anxiety. Journal of Perionesthesia Nursing, 27(1), 3-9. http://dx.doi.org/
10.1016/j.jopan.2011.0.004
CMS Manual System: Pub. 10.07 State Operations - Provider Certification. (2011).
Retrieved from https://www.cms.gov/
DeWitt, L., & Alibert, N. M. (2010). Preferences for visitation in the PACU. Journal
of Perionesthesia Nursing, 25(3), 526-501.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jopan.2010.07.039